

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,029	08/18/2004	Joseph C. Schneider	ITW7510.095	5028
33647	7590 04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ITW) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD			PASCHALL, MARK H	
MEQUON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,029	SCHNEIDER, JOSEPH C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark H. Paschall	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 02-03-06.	•
,	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	•	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appleada) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · ·	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority dod		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
3. Copies of the certified copies of t	· ·	received in this National Stage
application from the International	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certilled copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacKenzie et al in view of lida et al 707'. Note that MacKenzie et al in figure 5, teach that a ring and groove is used to quickly assemble the torch components. Though MacKenzie et al do not specifically mention rotation of less than 180 degrees to effect the retainment of the parts, the patent to lida et al is applied for clearly teaching that quick connect of parts can be effected using a rotation of 90 degrees, see figure 2. This effects a quick coupling of parts and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by such teaching to adapt MacKenzie et al to use; such a quick coupling of 90 degrees rotation, to effect the coupling of the torch components. Note as per claim 3, the components comprise a shield cup and a tip.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicants have effectively removed the Matus and Horner-Richardson patents form the rejection of the instant claims in response to submission of the 1.131 affidavit.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHHALL Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mp